

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/782,412	MOUSSEAU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sean Reilly	2153

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sean Reilly (Examiner). (3) _____.

(2) Lawrence Youst (Applicant's Representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 June 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 79.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed that 112 1st written description support exists for the limitations which state 1) requesting more of the message and then 2) receiving the message attachment. These limitations are supported with the rationale that when requesting more of a message the user will eventually receive the entire email message including the attachment. Note email system typically do not differentiate between a message body and attachment when sending messages to client systems. In the event that part of a message or a partial message is sent (as is typical in the bandwidth constrained mobile environment) the server merely sends a certain number of bytes to the user each time the user requests more of a message to be transferred.